#### SANGOMA: WP3 Innovative DA techniques

Sanita Vetra-Carvalho Peter Jan van Leeuwen

University of Reading

s.vetra-carvalho@reading.ac.uk

1st April 2014











イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Work package number	3	Start date	Start date or starting event:			MO	
Work package title	Innovative Data Assimilation techniques						
Activity Type <sup>1</sup>	RTD						
Participant number	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Participant short name	ULg	UREAD	AWI	TUD	CNRS	NERSC	
Person-months per participant:	6	12	10	7	13	5	

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- Collect information on current stochastic DA methods
- Develop a new DA method (non linear observation operator)
- Develop comparisons of non-Gaussian assumptions
- Develop algorithms for assesing observing systems (WP5)
- Produce living document of all the methods for users

### Deliverables



- D3.1: Report on current DA methods (M6)
- D3.2: Living document on new DA methods (M6)
- D3.3: Implementation of one common new DA method in all toolboxes (M36)
- D3.4: Codes of new methods compliant with WP1 (M42)
- D3.5: Final living document including uncertainty estimation (M48)

D3.1 currently includes

- Ensemble methods (various variants of EnSRF and pert. obs EnKF)
- Particle filters (PF) (equivalent weight and auxiliary)
- Gaussian mixture particle filter (GMPF)
- Various resampling methods for PFs and GMPF

Currently D3.2 includes proper orthogonal decomposition (POD) calibration method.

# D3.3 Implementation of one common new DA method in all toolboxes

Current choice of non-Gaussian filters within Sangoma:

- Multivariate Rank Histogram filter (MRHF)
- Equivalent Weights Particle Filter (EWPF)
  - ${\scriptstyle \bullet}\,$  With linear observation operator  ${\bf H}$
  - $\bullet\,$  With non-linear observation operator  ${\cal H}$

EWPF is a non-linear filter; however, it relies on linear(ized)  $\mathbf{H}$  in the current form of the filter.

EWPF is a particle filter which:

- Can represent the full posterior pdf.
- Uses future observations towards which the particles are nudged in between observation times.
- Is not degenerate due to equal weights of particles at analysis time.
- Uses a relatively small number of particles.

## Schematics of particle filters

#### Importance Sampling



#### Sequentical Importance Sampling



A = A = A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

#### ${\small Schematics \ of \ EWPF}$



- In between observations •  $\mathbf{x}_{j}^{n} = \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{n-1}\right) + nudging + d\beta_{j}^{n}$   $nudging = B(\tau)\left(\mathbf{y}^{(k)} - \mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{(m-1)}\right)\right)$ •  $w_{j}^{n} = w_{j}^{n-1} \frac{p(\mathbf{x}^{n} | \mathbf{x}^{n-1})}{q(\mathbf{x}^{n} | \mathbf{x}^{n-1}, \mathbf{y}^{k})}.$
- At observation time
  - Max. weight each particle can achieve  $C_j = w^{k-1} \max_{\mathbf{x}_i^k} \left[ p(\mathbf{y}^k | \mathbf{x}_i^k) p(\mathbf{x}_i^k | \mathbf{x}_i^{k-1}) \right]$
  - Keep particle if  $C_j > C_{max}$
  - Find  $\alpha$  such that  $C_{max} C_j = 0$
  - add stochastic noise  $\mathbf{x}_{j}^{n} = \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{n-1}\right) + \alpha_{j}\mathbf{K}\left(\mathbf{y} - \mathcal{H}\left(\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{n-1}\right)\right)\right) - \mathbf{x}_{j}\mathbf{K}\left(\mathbf{y} - \mathcal{H}\left(\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{n-1}\right)\right)\right)$
  - resample to have full set of particles.
  - $w_j = 1/N$ .

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト 二耳

#### Testbeds

#### Lorenz63 model

$$\begin{aligned} x^{n+1} &= x^{n} + \Delta t \sigma (y^{n} - x^{n}) + \xi_{x}^{n} \\ y^{n+1} &= y^{n} + \Delta t (\rho x^{n} - y^{n} - x^{n} z^{n}) + \xi_{y}^{n} \\ z^{n+1} &= z^{n} + \Delta t (x^{n} y^{n} - \beta^{n} z^{n}) + \xi_{z}^{n} \end{aligned}$$

Settings:

- dt = 0.01
- $\mathbf{x}^0 = [1.508870, -1.531271, 25.4609]$
- $\sigma = 10, \ \rho = 28, \ \beta = 8/3$
- Stand, dev. of initial ensemble and observational error is  $\sigma_R = \sqrt{2}$ .
- Stand, dev. of model error is  $\sigma_Q = \sqrt{2}\Delta t.$
- Numerical scheme: Euler

S. Vetra-Carvalho, P. J. van-Leeuwen (UoR)



1st April 2014

11 / 21

#### EWPF applied to Lorenz63...

Settings: N = 20,  $\Delta t_{obs} = 40$ 



#### ...EWPF applied to Lorenz63...



S. Vetra-Carvalho, P. J. van-Leeuwen (UoR)

WP3 Innovative DA techniques

E 990

## ... EWPF applied to Lorenz63...

Equal particle weights (in log space) are given by

 $C_{i} = -w_{i}^{\text{rest}} + \left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{*} - \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{n-1})\right)^{T} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{*} - \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{n-1})\right) + \left(\mathbf{y}_{i}^{k} - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{*})\right)^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{y}_{i}^{k} - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{*})\right).$ 

Particle weight contributions where

- Accumulated weights between observations are given by  $w_i^{rest} = w_i^{k-1} \frac{p(\mathbf{x}^n | \mathbf{x}^{n-1})}{q(\mathbf{x}^n | \mathbf{x}^{n-1} | \mathbf{y}^k)}$
- Transitional weights are given by  $\left(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{*}-\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{n-1})
  ight)^{T}\mathbf{Q}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{*}-\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{n-1})
  ight)$ where  $\mathbf{x}_{j}^{n} = \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{n-1}\right) + \alpha_{j}\mathbf{K}\left(\mathbf{y} - \mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{n}\right)\right).$
- Likelyhood weights are given by  $\left(\mathbf{y}_{i}^{k}-\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{*})\right)^{T}\mathbf{R}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{y}_{i}^{k}-\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{*})\right)$



#### ... EWPF applied to Lorenz63

Varying N = 20, 100, 1000

Varying  $\Delta t^{obs} = 40,80$ 





(b) Observations every 80 timestens

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

S. Vetra-Carvalho, P. J. van-Leeuwen (UoR)

WP3 Innovative DA techniques

1st April 2014 15 / 21

3

# EWPF applied to BV model

The highly nonlinear barotropic vorticity model is given by

$$\beta = \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y}$$
$$q = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial y^2}$$

where  ${\it q}$  is the ccorticity field,  $\psi$  is the streamfunction,  $\beta$  is a model error term.

**Grid:** 256 × 256 gridpoints (state dim.  $\approx$  65000) **Scheme:** Semi-Largrangian with  $\Delta t = 0.04$  and  $\Delta x = \Delta y = 1/256$ . **Model error:** Gaussian, zero mean,  $\sigma = 0.01$ , decoreltation lenghtscale 4 gridpoints.

**Observations:** Vorticity every 50 timesteps on every grid point with  $\sigma = 0.05$ .

**EWPF:** N = 24, nudging term K = 0.1.

#### ... EWPF applied to BV model



3

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

## EWPF with non-linear ${\cal H}$

Linearity of  ${\mathcal H}$  is not important in between observations

$$\mathbf{x}_{j}^{n} = \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{n-1}
ight) + \mathcal{B}( au)\left(\mathbf{y}^{\left(k
ight)} - \mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{\left(m-1
ight)}
ight)
ight) + deta_{j}^{n}$$

The equivialent weights step at observation time relies on linear **H** to analytically find maximum weight possible of each particle and analytic  $\alpha$  root to give equivalent weights for all particles that could reach the maximum weight.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C}_{j} &= -\mathbf{w}_{j}^{\text{rest}} + \left(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{*} - \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{n-1})\right)^{T} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{*} - \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{n-1})\right) + \left(\mathbf{y}_{j}^{k} - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{*})\right)^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{y}_{j}^{k} - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{*})\right) \,. \\ \mathbf{x}_{j}^{*} &= \mathcal{M} \left(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{n-1}\right) + \alpha_{j} \mathbf{K} \left(\mathbf{y} - \mathcal{H} \left(\mathcal{M} \left(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{n-1}\right)\right)\right) + d\gamma_{j}^{n} \end{split}$$

We have modified the equivalent weights step to work with a non-linear observation operator and currently testing it on Lorenz63 with  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}) = xy$ .

## EMPIRE

Phil Browne and Simon Wilson have developed a simple way to incorporate any dynamical model within a data assimilation scheme using Message Passing Interface (MPI).

#### EMPIRE

- Uses simple code to pass state vectors from DA scheme to the dynamic model and vice versa
  - More complex if DA and/or model uses parallel computing.
- Requires user to define  $\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Qx}$  and  $\mathbf{R}$  matrices.
- Is set up and can be used with various dynamical models:
  - Lorenz63, Barotropic Vorticity, Telemac, UM (operational global model), HadCM3.
- Is set up and can be used with following DA methods:
  - ETKF, EAKF, SIR and EWPF.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

# THANK YOU!

# ANY QUESTIONS?

S. Vetra-Carvalho, P. J. van-Leeuwen (UoR) WP3 Innovative DA techniques

1st April 2014 20 / 21

3

(日) (周) (三) (三)